

# Hilbert series of graded modules and numerical semigroups

Julio José Moyano Fernández  
Universität Osnabrück

Iberian Meeting on numerical semigroups  
Vila Real 2012

July 19, 2012

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be an arbitrary field. We consider the ring  $R = \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ , equipped with a positive  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading, i. e.,  $\deg(X_i) = e_i \geq 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be an arbitrary field. We consider the ring  $R = \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ , equipped with a positive  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading, i. e.,  $\deg(X_i) = e_i \geq 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Furthermore let  $M \neq 0$  be a f.g.  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $R$ -module.

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be an arbitrary field. We consider the ring  $R = \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ , equipped with a positive  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading, i. e.,  $\deg(X_i) = e_i \geq 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Furthermore let  $M \neq 0$  be a f.g.  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $R$ -module.

Every homogenous component of  $M$  is a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space, and since  $R$  is positively graded,  $M_k = 0$  for  $k \ll 0$ . Hence the

## Hilbert series

$$H_M(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\dim_{\mathbb{F}} M_k) t^k$$

is a well-defined element of  $\mathbb{Z}[[t]][t^{-1}]$ . Obviously it has no negative coefficients; for brevity we will call such a series nonnegative.

The depth of a graded module cannot be read off its Hilbert series in general; there may be modules with the same Hilbert series, but different depths. So it makes sense to introduce the

### Hilbert depth of $M$

$$\text{Hdep}(M) := \max \left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{There is a f. g. gr. } R\text{-module } N \\ \text{with } H_N = H_M \text{ and } \text{depth}(N) = r. \end{array} \right\};$$

A priori the Hilbert depth is an opaque quantity, since a vast amount of modules has to be taken into account.

In the standard graded case, i. e.  $\deg(X_i) = 1$  for all  $i$ , the Hilbert depth turns out to be equal to a simple arithmetic invariant of  $H_M$ , the

### Positivity of $M$

$$p(M) = \max\{r \in \mathbb{N} : (1 - t)^r H_M(t) \text{ is nonnegative}\}.$$

In the standard graded case, i. e.  $\deg(X_i) = 1$  for all  $i$ , the Hilbert depth turns out to be equal to a simple arithmetic invariant of  $H_M$ , the

### Positivity of $M$

$$p(M) = \max\{r \in \mathbb{N} : (1 - t)^r H_M(t) \text{ is nonnegative}\}.$$

The inequality  $Hdep(M) \leq p(M)$  is easy: We may assume  $\mathbb{F}$  to be infinite. Then a maximal  $M$ -regular sequence  $\underline{a} = a_1, \dots, a_r$  can be composed of elements of degree 1. We have

$$H_{M/\underline{a}M}(t) = (1 - t)^r H_M(t),$$

and, being a Hilbert series, this has to be nonnegative.

The reverse inequality  $H_{\text{dep}}(M) \geq p(M)$  can be deduced from the existence of a decomposition

$$H_M(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\dim(M)} \frac{Q_j(t)}{(1-t)^j}$$

with nonnegative  $Q_j \in \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ .



The reverse inequality  $Hdep(M) \geq p(M)$  can be deduced from the existence of a decomposition

$$H_M(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\dim(M)} \frac{Q_j(t)}{(1-t)^j}$$

with nonnegative  $Q_j \in \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ .

It seems natural to ask whether one could obtain a description of the Hilbert depth by an arithmetic condition also in the general case.

This talk will provide at least some partial answers.

In the general case  $R$  might not contain any homogeneous elements of degree 1 at all, and a fortiori there are no regular sequences consisting of such elements.

In the general case  $R$  might not contain any homogeneous elements of degree 1 at all, and a fortiori there are no regular sequences consisting of such elements.

Therefore the notion of positivity has to be modified:

Let  $e := \text{lcm}(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ . We define the

### e-positivity

$$p_e(M) = \max\{r \in \mathbb{N} : (1 - t^e)^r H_M(t) \text{ is nonnegative}\}$$

In the general case  $R$  might not contain any homogeneous elements of degree 1 at all, and a fortiori there are no regular sequences consisting of such elements.

Therefore the notion of positivity has to be modified:

Let  $e := \text{lcm}(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ . We define the

### e-positivity

$$p_e(M) = \max\{r \in \mathbb{N} : (1 - t^e)^r H_M(t) \text{ is nonnegative}\}$$

Since an  $M$ -regular sequence can always be composed of elements of degree  $e$ , the inequality  $\text{Hdep}(M) \leq p_e(M)$  follows exactly as in the standard graded case.

The decomposition theorem used in the standard graded case can also, at least partially, be generalized:

### Theorem (M.–Uliczka)

The Hilbert series of  $M$  admits a decomposition of the form

$$\sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{Q_I(t)}{\prod_{j \in I} (1 - t^{e_j})}$$

with nonnegative  $Q_I \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Any decomposition of  $H_M$  in that form yields another  $R$ -module with the same Hilbert series: Let

$$H_M(t) = \sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{\sum_{k=p_I}^{q_I} h_{k,I} t^k}{\prod_{j \in I} (1 - t^{e_j})}$$

and write  $J_I$  for the ideal generated by the  $X_i$  with  $i \notin I$ , then the  $R$ -module

$$N := \bigoplus_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} \left( \bigoplus_{k=p_I}^{q_I} \left( (R/J_I)(-k) \right)^{h_{I,k}} \right)$$

has Hilbert series  $H_M$ .

Since the module constructed above has

$$\text{depth}(N) = \min\{|I| : Q_I \neq 0\},$$

the Hilbert depth of  $M$  is bounded below by

$$\nu(H) := \max \left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} \mid \begin{array}{l} H \text{ admits a decomp. of the given form} \\ \text{with } Q_I = 0 \text{ for all } I \text{ with } |I| < r. \end{array} \right\}$$

Since the module constructed above has

$$\text{depth}(N) = \min\{|I| : Q_I \neq 0\},$$

the Hilbert depth of  $M$  is bounded below by

$$\nu(H) := \max \left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} \mid \begin{array}{l} H \text{ admits a decomp. of the given form} \\ \text{with } Q_I = 0 \text{ for all } I \text{ with } |I| < r. \end{array} \right\}$$

Hence we have an inequality

$$\nu(M) \leq \text{Hdep}(M) \leq p_e(M).$$



Since the module constructed above has

$$\text{depth}(N) = \min\{|I| : Q_I \neq 0\},$$

the Hilbert depth of  $M$  is bounded below by

$$\nu(H) := \max \left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} \mid \begin{array}{l} H \text{ admits a decomp. of the given form} \\ \text{with } Q_I = 0 \text{ for all } I \text{ with } |I| < r. \end{array} \right\}$$

Hence we have an inequality

$$\nu(M) \leq \text{Hdep}(M) \leq p_e(M).$$

It is not hard to show  $p(M) \leq \nu(M)$  in the standard graded case. But the argument used there **does not work** for  $p_e$ , since the factors  $1 - t^{e_i}$  appearing in the decomposition are different from the factor  $1 - t^e$  that is used in the definition of  $p(M)$ .

For  $R = \mathbb{F}[X, Y]$  we know

$$\nu(M) = \text{Hdep}(M) = p_e(M)$$

**Aim:** Arithmetical characterization of  $\nu(M) > 0$  for  $\mathbb{F}[X, Y]$  with

$$\alpha := \deg(X), \beta := \deg(Y)$$

and

$$\gcd(\alpha, \beta) = 1.$$

This will provide a criterion for  $\text{Hdep}(M)$ .

The condition

$$\rho_e(M) = \rho_{\alpha\beta}(M) > 0$$

is necessary but **not sufficient**.

If  $H_M(t) = \sum_n h_n t^n$ , this condition means

$$h_{n+0} \leq h_{n+\alpha\beta}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The condition

$$\rho_e(M) = \rho_{\alpha\beta}(M) > 0$$

is necessary but **not sufficient**.

If  $H_M(t) = \sum_n h_n t^n$ , this condition means

$$h_{n+0} \leq h_{n+\alpha\beta}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

What to do?

The condition

$$\rho_e(M) = \rho_{\alpha\beta}(M) > 0$$

is necessary but **not sufficient**.

If  $H_M(t) = \sum_n h_n t^n$ , this condition means

$$h_{n+0} \leq h_{n+\alpha\beta}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

What to do?  $\longrightarrow$  Examples!

Take  $\alpha = 3$  and  $\beta = 5$

Necessary conditions:

$$h_{n+0} \leq h_{n+15}$$

Take  $\alpha = 3$  and  $\beta = 5$

Necessary conditions:

$$h_{n+0} \leq h_{n+15}$$

and also (after some computations):

$$h_{n+0} + h_{n+1} \leq h_{n+6} + h_{n+10},$$

$$h_{n+0} + h_{n+2} \leq h_{n+12} + h_{n+5},$$

$$h_{n+0} + h_{n+4} \leq h_{n+9} + h_{n+10},$$

$$h_{n+0} + h_{n+7} \leq h_{n+12} + h_{n+10}$$

But 1, 2, 4, 7 are exactly the gaps of  $\langle 3, 5 \rangle$  !!!

$$\begin{array}{r|rr|rr} & 0 & 1 & 6 & 10 \\ \hline (3) & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ (5) & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r|rr|rr} & 0 & 4 & 9 & 10 \\ \hline (3) & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ (5) & 0 & 4 & 4 & 0 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r|rr|rr} & 0 & 7 & 12 & 10 \\ \hline (3) & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ (5) & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 \end{array}$$



More computations convinced us that

$$h_{n+0} + h_{n+1} + h_{n+2} \leq h_{n+4} + h_{n+5} + h_{n+6},$$

$$h_{n+0} + h_{n+2} + h_{n+4} \leq h_{n+5} + h_{n+7} + h_{n+9}$$

are also sufficient!

	0	2	4	5	7	9
(3)	0	2	1	2	1	0
(5)	0	2	4	0	2	4

Look also that:

$$2 < 5 \quad \text{and} \quad 2 < 7$$

$$4 < 7 \quad \text{and} \quad 4 < 9$$

Let  $L$  be the set of gaps of  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ .

An  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -fundamental couple  $[I, J]$  consists of two integer sequences  $I = (i_k)_{k=0}^m$  and  $J = (j_k)_{k=0}^m$ , such that

$$(0) \quad i_0 = 0.$$

$$(1) \quad i_1, \dots, i_m, j_1, \dots, j_{m-1} \in L \text{ and } j_0, j_m \leq \alpha\beta.$$

(2)

$$\begin{array}{llll} i_k \equiv j_k \pmod{\alpha} & \text{and} & i_k < j_k & \text{for } k = 0, \dots, m; \\ j_k \equiv i_{k+1} \pmod{\beta} & \text{and} & j_k > i_{k+1} & \text{for } k = 0, \dots, m-1; \\ j_m \equiv i_0 \pmod{\beta} & \text{and} & j_m \geq i_0. & \end{array}$$

$$(3) \quad |i_k - i_\ell| \in L \text{ for } 1 \leq k < \ell \leq m.$$

The set of  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -fundamental couples will be denoted by  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \beta}$ .

The number of  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -fundamental couples grows surprisingly with increasing  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

We give some examples:

$S = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$	$ \mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \beta} $	genus
$\langle 4, 5 \rangle$	14	6
$\langle 4, 7 \rangle$	30	9
$\langle 6, 11 \rangle$	728	25
$\langle 11, 13 \rangle$	104 006	60

## Main Theorem (M.–Uliczka)

Let  $R = \mathbb{F}[X, Y]$  be the polynomial ring in two variables s.th.

$$\deg(X) = \alpha, \quad \deg(Y) = \beta, \quad \text{with } \gcd(\alpha, \beta) = 1.$$

Let  $M$  be a finitely generated graded  $R$ -module. Then

$\text{Hdep}(M) > 0$  if and only if  $H_M(t) = \sum_n h_n t^n$  satisfies the condition

$$(\star) \quad \sum_{i \in I} h_{i+n} \leq \sum_{j \in J} h_{j+n} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}, [I, J] \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \beta}.$$

In the special case  $\langle 3, 5 \rangle$  the criterion is given by the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}h_n &\leq h_{n+15}, \\h_n + h_{n+1} &\leq h_{n+6} + h_{n+10}, \\h_n + h_{n+2} &\leq h_{n+12} + h_{n+5}, \\h_n + h_{n+4} &\leq h_{n+9} + h_{n+10}, \\h_n + h_{n+7} &\leq h_{n+12} + h_{n+10}, \\h_n + h_{n+1} + h_{n+2} &\leq h_{n+5} + h_{n+6} + h_{n+7}, \\h_n + h_{n+2} + h_{n+4} &\leq h_{n+5} + h_{n+7} + h_{n+9}\end{aligned}$$

About the proof:

- **Necessity** follows from simple arguments even not involving numerical semigroups.

For any  $R$ -module with  $\text{Hdep}(M) > 0$  the Hilbert series can be written in the form

$$H_M(t) = \frac{Q_2(t)}{(1-t^\alpha)(1-t^\beta)} + \frac{Q_X(t)}{1-t^\alpha} + \frac{Q_Y(t)}{1-t^\beta}$$

with nonnegative  $Q_2, Q_X, Q_Y \in \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ .

It is just to show that the constituting elements here satisfy the condition  $(\star)$ .

## About the proof:

- **Necessity** follows from simple arguments even not involving numerical semigroups.

For any  $R$ -module with  $\text{Hdep}(M) > 0$  the Hilbert series can be written in the form

$$H_M(t) = \frac{Q_2(t)}{(1-t^\alpha)(1-t^\beta)} + \frac{Q_X(t)}{1-t^\alpha} + \frac{Q_Y(t)}{1-t^\beta}$$

with nonnegative  $Q_2, Q_X, Q_Y \in \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ .

It is just to show that the constituting elements here satisfy the condition  $(\star)$ .

- **Sufficiency** needs a deep understanding of the numerical structure of the  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -fundamental couples.

One shows that condition  $(\star)$  is enough to ensure  $H_{\text{dep}}(M) > 0$ .

Let  $M$  be  $R$ -module with its Hilbert series satisfying  $(\star)$ . By the decomposition theorem there are nonnegative  $Q_i \in \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$  such that

$$H_M(t) = Q_0(t) + \frac{Q_X(t)}{1-t^\alpha} + \frac{Q_Y(t)}{1-t^\beta} + \frac{Q_2(t)}{(1-t^\alpha)(1-t^\beta)}.$$

We have to show that it is possible to get rid of  $Q_0$ . This is done in two steps:



- (i) First we reduce the problem to the one-dimensional case, i. e. we eliminate the  $Q_2$  term.

We may thus assume  $Q_2 = 0$ . In this case the coefficients in  $H_M = \sum_n h_n t^n$  get periodic for, say,  $n \geq N$ .

- (ii) We apply induction on  $s := \sum_{n \leq N + \alpha\beta} h_n$ .