

On the maximal gap of an ideal and the Feng-Rao numbers

Maria Bras-Amorós, Kwankyu Lee, Albert Vico-Oton

Iberian meeting on numerical semigroups
Vila Real, July 18, 2012

- 1 Maximum integer not in an ideal
 - Maximum integer not in a semigroup (Frobenius number)
 - Maximum integer not in an ideal
 - Bound
 - Characterization of ideals attaining the bound
 - Sequences of pairwise isometric one-point AG codes

- 2 Feng-Rao numbers and generalized Hamming weights
 - Generalized Hamming weights
 - Order bounds for algebraic geometry codes
 - Farrán-Munuera's Feng-Rao numbers
 - The perspective of ideals
 - Bound on the Feng-Rao numbers

Maximum integer not in an ideal

Max integer not in a semigroup (Frobenius number)

Max integer not in a semigroup (Frobenius number)

A **numerical semigroup** Λ is a subset of \mathbb{N}_0 that contains 0, is closed under addition, and has a finite complement in \mathbb{N}_0 .

The elements in this complement are called the **gaps** of the semigroup and the number of gaps is the **genus**.

The maximum gap is the **Frobenius number** of the semigroup and the **conductor** is the Frobenius number plus one.

Max integer not in a semigroup (Frobenius number)

A **numerical semigroup** Λ is a subset of \mathbb{N}_0 that contains 0, is closed under addition, and has a finite complement in \mathbb{N}_0 .

The elements in this complement are called the **gaps** of the semigroup and the number of gaps is the **genus**.

The maximum gap is the **Frobenius number** of the semigroup and the **conductor** is the Frobenius number plus one.

Lemma

- 1 $F \leq 2g - 1$ (*pigeonhole principle*)
- 2 $F = 2g - 1 \iff \Lambda$ symmetric (*that is, $i \in \Lambda \iff F - i \notin \Lambda$*).

Maximum integer not in an ideal

Maximum integer not in an ideal

Ideals of a numerical semigroup

A subset $I \subseteq \Lambda$ is an **ideal** of a numerical semigroup if and only if

$$I + \Lambda \subseteq I.$$

In particular, $\Lambda \setminus I$ is finite.

Maximum integer not in an ideal

Ideals of a numerical semigroup

A subset $I \subseteq \Lambda$ is an **ideal** of a numerical semigroup if and only if

$$I + \Lambda \subseteq I.$$

In particular, $\Lambda \setminus I$ is finite.

Goal: $\max(\mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I)$.

Maximum integer not in an ideal

Ideals of a numerical semigroup

A subset $I \subseteq \Lambda$ is an **ideal** of a numerical semigroup if and only if

$$I + \Lambda \subseteq I.$$

In particular, $\Lambda \setminus I$ is finite.

Goal: $\max(\mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I)$.

Example

If $I = \Lambda$ then $\max(\mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I) = F$. In particular,

- 1 $\max(\mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I) \leq 2g - 1$
- 2 $\max(\mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I) = 2g - 1 \iff \Lambda$ symmetric.

Preliminaries: Barucci's theorem

Preliminaries: Barucci's theorem

Suppose $\Lambda = \{\lambda_0 = 0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \dots\}$.

Divisors of λ_i : $D(i) = \{\lambda_j \leq \lambda_i : \lambda_i - \lambda_j \in \Lambda\}$, and we set $\nu_i = \#D(i)$.

Preliminaries: Barucci's theorem

Suppose $\Lambda = \{\lambda_0 = 0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \dots\}$.

Divisors of λ_i : $D(i) = \{\lambda_j \leq \lambda_i : \lambda_i - \lambda_j \in \Lambda\}$, and we set $\nu_i = \#D(i)$.

Example

In $\Lambda = \{0, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 12, \rightarrow\}$, $D(6) = \{0, 4, 8, 12\}$, $\nu_6 = 4$.

Preliminaries: Barucci's theorem

Suppose $\Lambda = \{\lambda_0 = 0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \dots\}$.

Divisors of λ_i : $D(i) = \{\lambda_j \leq \lambda_i : \lambda_i - \lambda_j \in \Lambda\}$, and we set $\nu_i = \#D(i)$.

Example

In $\Lambda = \{0, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 12, \rightarrow\}$, $D(6) = \{0, 4, 8, 12\}$, $\nu_6 = 4$.

Theorem (Barucci)

Any ideal of a numerical semigroup is an intersection of irreducible ideals and irreducible ideals have the form $\Lambda \setminus D(i)$ for some i .

Preliminaries: Barucci's theorem

Suppose $\Lambda = \{\lambda_0 = 0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \dots\}$.

Divisors of λ_i : $D(i) = \{\lambda_j \leq \lambda_i : \lambda_i - \lambda_j \in \Lambda\}$, and we set $\nu_i = \#D(i)$.

Example

In $\Lambda = \{0, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 12, \rightarrow\}$, $D(6) = \{0, 4, 8, 12\}$, $\nu_6 = 4$.

Theorem (Barucci)

Any ideal of a numerical semigroup is an intersection of irreducible ideals and irreducible ideals have the form $\Lambda \setminus D(i)$ for some i .

Example

$\Lambda \setminus D(6) = \{5, 9, 10, 13, \rightarrow\}$ is an irreducible ideal of Λ .

Preliminaries: Hoholdt, van Lint, Pellikaan's Lemma

Preliminaries: Hoholdt, van Lint, Pellikaan's Lemma

$G(i)$: number of pairs of gaps adding up to λ_i .

Preliminaries: Hoholdt, van Lint, Pellikaan's Lemma

$G(i)$: number of pairs of gaps adding up to λ_i .

Example

In $\{0, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 12, \rightarrow\}$, $G(6) = 3$ since $\lambda_6 = 12 = 1 + 11 = 6 + 6 = 11 + 1$.

Preliminaries: Hoholdt, van Lint, Pellikaan's Lemma

$G(i)$: number of pairs of gaps adding up to λ_i .

Example

In $\{0, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 12, \rightarrow\}$, $G(6) = 3$ since $\lambda_6 = 12 = 1 + 11 = 6 + 6 = 11 + 1$.

$g(i)$: number of gaps smaller than λ_i .

Preliminaries: Hoholdt, van Lint, Pellikaan's Lemma

$G(i)$: number of pairs of gaps adding up to λ_i .

Example

In $\{0, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 12, \rightarrow\}$, $G(6) = 3$ since $\lambda_6 = 12 = 1 + 11 = 6 + 6 = 11 + 1$.

$g(i)$: number of gaps smaller than λ_i .

Example

In $\{0, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 12, \rightarrow\}$, $g(3) = \#\{\text{gaps smaller than } \lambda_3 (= 8)\} = 5$.

Preliminaries: Hoholdt, van Lint, Pellikaan's Lemma

$G(i)$: number of pairs of gaps adding up to λ_i .

Example

In $\{0, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 12, \rightarrow\}$, $G(6) = 3$ since $\lambda_6 = 12 = 1 + 11 = 6 + 6 = 11 + 1$.

$g(i)$: number of gaps smaller than λ_i .

Example

In $\{0, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 12, \rightarrow\}$, $g(3) = \#\{\text{gaps smaller than } \lambda_3 (= 8)\} = 5$.

Lemma (Hoholdt, van Lint, Pellikaan)

$$\nu_i = i - g(i) + G(i) + 1$$

Bound

Difference of I : $\#(\Lambda \setminus I)$

Theorem

The maximum integer not belonging to an ideal I of a semigroup Λ of genus g with difference d is at most $d + 2g - 1$. That is, $d + 2g + i \in I$ for all $i \geq 0$.

Proof: If I, I' satisfy the result then $I \cap I'$ also satisfies it.

By Barucci's Theorem it is then enough to prove the result for $I = \Lambda \setminus D(i)$.

In this case
$$\begin{cases} d = \nu_i \\ \max(\mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I) = \max\{c - 1, \lambda_i\}. \end{cases}$$

We need to see that $\nu_i + 2g \geq \max\{c, \lambda_i + 1\}$ (c the conductor).

If $c \geq \lambda_i + 1$ then we are done since $2g \geq c$.

If $c < \lambda_i + 1$ then $g(i) = g$, $\lambda_i = i + g$, and hence, by HvLP's Lemma,

$\nu_i + 2g = (i - g + G(i) + 1) + 2g = i + g + 1 + G(i) = \lambda_i + 1 + G(i) \geq \lambda_i + 1$. □

Characterization of ideals attaining the bound

Lemma

If $G(i) = 0$ then $\lambda_i \geq c$.

Proof: $1, \dots, \lambda_1 - 1$ gaps $\implies \lambda_i - \lambda_1 + 1, \dots, \lambda_i - 1$ non-gaps.

But $\lambda_i \in \Lambda \implies [\lambda_i - \lambda_1 + 1, \dots, \lambda_i] \subseteq \Lambda$.

Now, by adding multiples of λ_1 to the elements in this interval we get the whole set of integers $\lambda_i + k$ with $k \geq 0$.

Then $\lambda_i \geq c$. □

Characterization of ideals attaining the bound

Theorem

The next statements are equivalent:

- 1 The maximum integer not belonging to I is exactly $d + 2g - 1$.
- 2 $I = \Lambda \setminus D(i)$ for some i with $G(i) = 0$.

Proof: Suppose first that $I = \Lambda \setminus D(i)$ for some i with $G(i) = 0$.

Then $d = \nu_i$.

Also, $G(i) = 0 \implies \lambda_i \geq c$ and so

- $g(i) = g$
- $\lambda_i = i + g$

Now, by HvLP's Lemma,

$$d + 2g - 1 = (i - g(i) + G(i) + 1) + 2g - 1 = i - g + 0 + 1 + 2g - 1 = i + g = \lambda_i \notin I.$$

□

Characterization of ideals attaining the bound

Theorem

The next statements are equivalent:

- 1 The maximum integer not belonging to I is exactly $d + 2g - 1$.
- 2 $I = \Lambda \setminus D(i)$ for some i with $G(i) = 0$.

Proof:

Conversely, suppose that the maximum integer not belonging to I is $d + 2g - 1$.

If $I = I' \cap I''$, with I', I'' ideals, $d' = \#(\Lambda \setminus I')$, $d'' = \#(\Lambda \setminus I'')$, and $I', I'' \neq I$, then $d = \#(\Lambda \setminus I) > d', d''$.

If $d + 2g - 1 \notin I$ then $d + 2g - 1 \notin I'$ or $d + 2g - 1 \notin I''$, but $d + 2g - 1 > d' + 2g - 1, d'' + 2g - 1$, contradicting the previous bound.

By Barucci's Theorem, $I = \Lambda \setminus D(i)$ for some i . Also, $d = \nu_i$.

If $\lambda_i < c$, then $\nu_i + 2g - 1 \geq 1 + 2g - 1 = 2g \geq c$ and so $d + 2g - 1 \in I$, a contradiction.

Therefore $\lambda_i \geq c$. Then $\nu_i = i - g + G(i) + 1$ by HvLP's Lemma.

So $d + 2g - 1 = i + g + G(i) = \lambda_i + G(i)$. But $d + 2g - 1 \notin I \implies G(i) = 0$.

Characterization of ideals attaining the bound

Example

Consider the semigroup

$$\Lambda = \{0, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, \rightarrow\}.$$

The ideal $I = \Lambda \setminus D(6) = \{5, 9, 10, 13, \rightarrow\}$ has difference equal to $\nu_6 = 4$, but

$$d + 2g - 1 = 4 + 12 - 1 = 15 \in I.$$

This is because, as already seen, $G(6) \neq 0$.

The ideal $I = \Lambda \setminus D(9) = \{4, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 16, \rightarrow\}$ has difference equal to $\nu_9 = \#\{0, 5, 10, 15\} = 4$, and

$$d + 2g - 1 = 4 + 12 - 1 = 15 \notin I.$$

This is because $G(9) = 0$. Indeed, $\{15 - 1 = 14, 15 - 2 = 13, 15 - 3 = 12, 15 - 6 = 9, 15 - 7 = 8, 15 - 11 = 4\} \subseteq \Lambda$.

Characterization of ideals attaining the bound

Theorem

The next statements are equivalent:

- 1 The maximum integer not belonging to I is exactly $d + 2g - 1$.
- 2 $I = \Lambda \setminus D(i)$ for some i with $G(i) = 0$.
- 3 $\Lambda \setminus I = \Lambda \cap ((d + 2g - 1) - \Lambda) = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : d + 2g - 1 - \lambda \in \Lambda\}$
- 4 $I = \{\lambda_i - h : h \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \Lambda\}$ for some i with $G(i) = 0$.
- 5 $\{a + h : h \notin \Lambda, F - h \notin \Lambda\} \subseteq \Lambda$ and
 $I = (a + \Lambda) \cup \{a + h : h \notin \Lambda, F - h \notin \Lambda\}$ for some $a \in \Lambda, a > 0$.

Characterization of ideals attaining the bound

We call the ideals of the form $a + \Lambda$ for some $a \in \Lambda$ **principal ideals**.

Characterization of ideals attaining the bound

We call the ideals of the form $a + \Lambda$ for some $a \in \Lambda$ **principal ideals**.

Corollary

*Let Λ be a **symmetric** numerical semigroup of genus g . Suppose that I is an ideal of Λ with difference d . Then the largest integer not belonging to I is $d + 2g - 1$ if and only if I is principal.*

Characterization of ideals attaining the bound

Example

Consider the semigroup

$$\Lambda = \{0, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, \rightarrow\}.$$

The ideal $I = \Lambda \setminus D(6) = \{5, 9, 10, 13, \rightarrow\}$ has difference equal to $\nu_6 = 4$, but

$$d + 2g - 1 = 4 + 12 - 1 = 15 \in I.$$

This is because, as already seen, $G(6) \neq 0$.

The ideal $I = \Lambda \setminus D(9) = \{4, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 16, \rightarrow\}$ has difference equal to $\nu_9 = \#\{0, 5, 10, 15\} = 4$, and

$$d + 2g - 1 = 4 + 12 - 1 = 15 \notin I.$$

This is because $G(9) = 0$. Indeed, $\{15 - 1 = 14, 15 - 2 = 13, 15 - 3 = 12, 15 - 6 = 9, 15 - 7 = 8, 15 - 11 = 4\} \subseteq \Lambda$.

Sequences of pairwise isometric one-point AG codes

Sequences of pairwise isometric one-point AG codes

Two codes $C, D \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ are said to be **x -isometric**, for $x \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^n$ if

$$D = \{x * c = (x_1c_1, \dots, x_nc_n) : c \in C\}.$$

Sequences of pairwise isometric one-point AG codes

Two codes $C, D \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ are said to be **x -isometric**, for $x \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^n$ if

$$D = \{x * c = (x_1c_1, \dots, x_nc_n) : c \in C\}.$$

Example

Consider the double-repetition code in \mathbb{F}_3^{*4}

$$C = \{(0, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 1), (0, 0, 2, 2), (1, 1, 0, 0), (1, 1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 2, 2), (2, 2, 0, 0), (2, 2, 1, 1), (2, 2, 2, 2)\}$$

and the code

$$D = \{(0, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 2), (0, 0, 2, 1), (1, 2, 0, 0), (1, 2, 1, 2), (1, 2, 2, 1), (2, 1, 0, 0), (2, 1, 1, 2), (2, 1, 2, 1)\}$$

One can check that D is $(1, 2, 1, 2)$ -isometric to C .

Sequences of pairwise isometric one-point AG codes

Two codes $C, D \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ are said to be **x -isometric**, for $x \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^n$ if

$$D = \{x * c = (x_1 c_1, \dots, x_n c_n) : c \in C\}.$$

Example

Consider the double-repetition code in \mathbb{F}_3^{*4}

$$C = \{(0, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 1), (0, 0, 2, 2), (1, 1, 0, 0), (1, 1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 2, 2), (2, 2, 0, 0), (2, 2, 1, 1), (2, 2, 2, 2)\}$$

and the code

$$D = \{(0, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 2), (0, 0, 2, 1), (1, 2, 0, 0), (1, 2, 1, 2), (1, 2, 2, 1), (2, 1, 0, 0), (2, 1, 1, 2), (2, 1, 2, 1)\}$$

One can check that D is $(1, 2, 1, 2)$ -isometric to C .

A sequence of codes $(C_i)_{i=0, \dots, n}$ is said to satisfy the **isometry-dual condition** if there exists $x \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^n$ such that C_i is x -isometric to C_{n-i}^\perp for all $i = 0, \dots, n$.

Sequences of pairwise isometric one-point AG codes

Let P_1, \dots, P_n, Q be different rational points of a (projective, non-singular, geometrically irreducible) curve with genus g and define

$$C_m = \{(f(P_1), \dots, f(P_n)) : f \in L(mQ)\}.$$

Sequences of pairwise isometric one-point AG codes

Let P_1, \dots, P_n, Q be different rational points of a (projective, non-singular, geometrically irreducible) curve with genus g and define

$$C_m = \{(f(P_1), \dots, f(P_n)) : f \in L(mQ)\}.$$

$$W = \{0\} \cup \{m \in \mathbb{N} : L(mQ) \neq L((m-1)Q)\} \text{ (Weierstrass semigroup),}$$

Sequences of pairwise isometric one-point AG codes

Let P_1, \dots, P_n, Q be different rational points of a (projective, non-singular, geometrically irreducible) curve with genus g and define

$$C_m = \{(f(P_1), \dots, f(P_n)) : f \in L(mQ)\}.$$

$$W = \{0\} \cup \{m \in \mathbb{N} : L(mQ) \neq L((m-1)Q)\} \text{ (Weierstrass semigroup)},$$

$$W^* = \{0\} \cup \{m \in \mathbb{N} : C_m \neq C_{m-1}\} = \{m_0 = 0, m_1, \dots, m_n\}.$$

Sequences of pairwise isometric one-point AG codes

Let P_1, \dots, P_n, Q be different rational points of a (projective, non-singular, geometrically irreducible) curve with genus g and define

$$C_m = \{(f(P_1), \dots, f(P_n)) : f \in L(mQ)\}.$$

$$W = \{0\} \cup \{m \in \mathbb{N} : L(mQ) \neq L((m-1)Q)\} \text{ (Weierstrass semigroup)},$$

$$W^* = \{0\} \cup \{m \in \mathbb{N} : C_m \neq C_{m-1}\} = \{m_0 = 0, m_1, \dots, m_n\}.$$

Theorem (Geil, Munuera, Ruano, Torres)

- $W \setminus W^*$ is an ideal of W ,
- C_{m_0}, \dots, C_{m_n} satisfies the isometry-dual condition $\Leftrightarrow \#W^* + 2g - 1 \in W^*$.

Feng-Rao numbers and generalized Hamming weights

Generalized Hamming weights

The **generalized Hamming weights** of a linear code are, for each given dimension, the minimum size of the support of the linear subspaces of that dimension.

Generalized Hamming weights

The **generalized Hamming weights** of a linear code are, for each given dimension, the minimum size of the support of the linear subspaces of that dimension.

They are used in

- the wire-tap channel of type II
- t -resilient functions
- network coding
- list decoding
- bounding the covering radius of linear codes
- secure secret sharing based on linear codes

Order bounds for algebraic geometry codes

Algebraic geometry codes

Let P_1, \dots, P_n, Q be different rational points of a (projective, non-singular, geometrically irreducible) curve with genus g and define

$$C_m = \{(f(P_1), \dots, f(P_n)) : f \in L(mQ)\}.$$

$$W = \{0\} \cup \{m \in \mathbb{N} : L(mQ) \neq L((m-1)Q)\} \text{ (Weierstrass semigr.)}$$

Order bounds for algebraic geometry codes

Algebraic geometry codes

Let P_1, \dots, P_n, Q be different rational points of a (projective, non-singular, geometrically irreducible) curve with genus g and define

$$C_m = \{(f(P_1), \dots, f(P_n)) : f \in L(mQ)\}.$$

$$W = \{0\} \cup \{m \in \mathbb{N} : L(mQ) \neq L((m-1)Q)\} \text{ (Weierstrass semigr.)}$$

Order bound on the minimum distance

The minimum distance of C_m^\perp is lower bounded by the **order bound**:

$$\delta(m) = \min\{\nu_i : i > m\}$$

Order bounds for algebraic geometry codes

Define $D(i)$ as before and $D(i_1, \dots, i_r) = D(i_1) \cup \dots \cup D(i_r)$.

Order bounds for algebraic geometry codes

Define $D(i)$ as before and $D(i_1, \dots, i_r) = D(i_1) \cup \dots \cup D(i_r)$.

Order bound on generalized Hamming weights

The r -th generalized Hamming weight of C_m^\perp is lower bounded by the r -th order bound:

$$\delta_r(m) = \min\{\#D(i_1, \dots, i_r) : i_1, \dots, i_r > m\}.$$

Farrán-Munuera's Feng-Rao numbers

Theorem (Farrán-Munuera)

For each numerical semigroup Λ and each integer $r \geq 2$ there exists a constant $E_r = E(\Lambda, r)$, called r -th **Feng-Rao number**, such that

- 1 $\delta_r(m) = m + 2 - g + E_r$ for all m such that $\lambda_m \geq 2c - 2$,
- 2 $\delta_r(m) \geq m + 2 - g + E_r$ for any m such that $\lambda_m \geq c$,

where c and g are respectively the conductor and the genus of Λ .

Farrán-Munuera's Feng-Rao numbers

Theorem (Farrán-Munuera)

For each numerical semigroup Λ and each integer $r \geq 2$ there exists a constant $E_r = E(\Lambda, r)$, called r -th **Feng-Rao number**, such that

- 1 $\delta_r(m) = m + 2 - g + E_r$ for all m such that $\lambda_m \geq 2c - 2$,
- 2 $\delta_r(m) \geq m + 2 - g + E_r$ for any m such that $\lambda_m \geq c$,

where c and g are respectively the conductor and the genus of Λ .

This is an extension of the Goppa bound for $r = 1$, with $E_r = 0$.

Farrán-Munuera's Feng-Rao numbers

Theorem (Farrán-Munuera)

For each numerical semigroup Λ and each integer $r \geq 2$ there exists a constant $E_r = E(\Lambda, r)$, called r -th **Feng-Rao number**, such that

- 1 $\delta_r(m) = m + 2 - g + E_r$ for all m such that $\lambda_m \geq 2c - 2$,
- 2 $\delta_r(m) \geq m + 2 - g + E_r$ for any m such that $\lambda_m \geq c$,

where c and g are respectively the conductor and the genus of Λ .

This is an extension of the Goppa bound for $r = 1$, with $E_r = 0$.

Furthermore,

- 3 $r \leq E_r \leq \lambda_{r-1}$ if $g > 0$ (and $r \geq 2$),
- 4 $E_r = \lambda_{r-1}$ if $r \geq c$,
- 5 $E_r = r - 1$ if $g = 0$.

The perspective of ideals

Recall, $\delta_r(m) = \min\{\#D(i_1, \dots, i_r) : i_1, \dots, i_r > m\}$.

By Farrán-Munuera's theorem, $\delta_r(m) = m + 2 - g + E_r$ for all m such that $\lambda_m \geq 2c - 2$.

Suppose $m < i_1 < \dots < i_r$ are such that $\delta_r(m) = \#D(i_1, \dots, i_r)$.

Then

$\Lambda \setminus D(i_1, \dots, i_r) = \Lambda \setminus (D(i_1) \cup \dots \cup D(i_r)) = (\Lambda \setminus D(i_1)) \cap \dots \cap (\Lambda \setminus D(i_r))$
is an **ideal** with

- **difference:** $\#D(i_1, \dots, i_r) = \delta_r(m) = m + 2 - g + E_r$
- **maximum integer not belonging to it:** λ_{i_r}

So, $\lambda_{i_r} \leq (m + 2 - g + E_r) + 2g - 1 = m + g + 1 + E_r = \lambda_{m+1} + E_r \implies$

$$E_r \geq \lambda_{i_r} - \lambda_{m+1} = i_r - i_1.$$

Bound on the Feng-Rao numbers

Theorem

Suppose that n_ℓ is the number of intervals of at least ℓ gaps of Λ . Then

$$E_r \geq \min\left\{r - 2 + \left\lceil \frac{r}{\ell - 1} \right\rceil, r - 1 + \left\lceil \frac{(\ell - 1)n_{\ell-1}}{\ell} \right\rceil\right\}.$$

In particular, if n is the number of intervals of Λ then

$$E_r \geq \min\{2(r - 1), r - 1 + \lceil n/2 \rceil\}.$$

Bound on the Feng-Rao numbers

Theorem

Suppose that n_ℓ is the number of intervals of at least ℓ gaps of Λ . Then

$$E_r \geq \min\left\{r - 2 + \left\lceil \frac{r}{\ell - 1} \right\rceil, r - 1 + \left\lceil \frac{(\ell - 1)n_{\ell-1}}{\ell} \right\rceil\right\}.$$

In particular, if n is the number of intervals of Λ then

$$E_r \geq \min\{2(r - 1), r - 1 + \lceil n/2 \rceil\}.$$

Remark

If $r = 2$ or $n_1 \leq 2$ then our bound equals $E_r \geq r$. In any other case our bound is better.

Bound on the generalized Hamming weights

Corollary

Let m be such that $\lambda_m \geq c$ and let $\ell \geq 2$. Then

$$\delta_r(m) \geq m + 2 - g + \min\left\{r - 2 + \left\lceil \frac{r}{\ell - 1} \right\rceil, r - 1 + \left\lceil \frac{(\ell - 1)n_{\ell-1}}{\ell} \right\rceil\right\}.$$

Corollary

If Λ is a semigroup with conductor c and n intervals of gaps then, for any m with $\lambda_m \geq c$,

$$\delta_r(m) \geq \begin{cases} m - g + 2r & \text{if } r \leq \lceil n/2 \rceil + 1, \\ m - g + r + \lceil n/2 \rceil + 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$